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#### Abstract

We prove that, in $\mathbb{R}^{6}$, a complete, two-sided, stable minimal hypersurfaces is flat. The proof follows the strategy developed by Chodosh, Li, Minter and Stryker, and use the spectral volume estimate of Antonelli and Xu.


## 1. Introduction

A minimal hypersurface $M^{n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a critical point of the $n$-volume functional. It is characterized by its vanishing mean curvature. If a unit normal vectorfield $\nu$ is defined along $M$ and $\varphi$ is a function with compact support on $M$, one can consider a deformation of $M$ with initial speed $\varphi \nu$. The computation of the second derivative of the $n$-volume along this deformation at initial time gives

$$
\int_{M}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \varphi^{2}
$$

where $A_{M}$ is the second fundamental form of $M$. So asking that this quantity is non negative for any $\varphi$ means that $M$ is a minimum at order 2 of the $n$-volume. Such a minimal hypersurface is called stable.

The stable Bernstein problem asks wether a complete stable minimal hypersurface is a flat affine hyperplane. We give a positive answer in the case $n=5$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6}$ be an immersed, complete, connected, two-sided, stable minimal hypersurface. Then $M$ is a Euclidean hyperplane.

A particular class of stable minimal hypersurface is given by minimal graphs over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In [4], Bernstein proved that a minimal graph over $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ has to be a plane. In the sixties, the same question for higher dimensions was studied in a series of paper by Fleming [17], De Giorgi [14], Almgren [1] and Simons [26]. They proved that minimal graphs over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are planes if $n \leq 7$. For $n \geq 8$, Bombieri, De Giorgi and Giusti [5] were able to construct counter-examples and gave also in $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ an example of a stable minimal hypersurfaces that is not a hyperplane.

Concerning the stable Bernstein problem, the question was solved positively in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ by Do Carmo and Peng [15], Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen [16] and Porogelov [22] in the early eighties. In higher dimension, Schoen, Simon and Yau [23, 24] were able to settle the stable Bernstein in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n \leq 6$, under a Euclidean volume growth assumption (see also the recent work of Bellettini [3]).

Recently Chodosh and Li [9] were able to answer positively the stable Bernstein problem in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Later two alternative proofs came out: one by Catino, Mastrolia and Roncoroni $[8]$ and one by Chodosh and $\mathrm{Li}[10]$. Actually in [10], Chodosh and Li develop a second strategy to prove the result. Then, in a joint work Minter and Stryker [12], they were able to apply this strategy in the case of $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ to solve the stable Bernstein problem in this dimension as well.

As in $[13,12]$, it is well known that the solution to the stable Bernstein problem (Theorem 1.1) implies corollaries like curvature estimates for stable minimal immersions in 6dimensional manifolds and characterization of finite Morse index minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{6}$. For example, we have

Corollary 1.1. Let $\left(X^{6}, g\right)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature satisfies $\left|\sec _{g}\right| \leq K$. Then any compact, two-sided, stable minimal immersion $M^{5} \uparrow X$ satisfies

$$
\left|A_{M}\right|(q) \min \left(1, d_{M}(q, \partial M)\right) \leq C(K)
$$

for $q \in M$.
The proof of [13, Corollary 2.5] extends to dimension 6 to prove the above result.
The basic idea to prove Theorem 1.1 is to obtain a Euclidean growth estimate for the volume of $M$ and then apply the work of Schoen, Simon and Yau. The strategy of Chodosh and Li is a way towards this estimate. We refer to [10, 12] for a good presentation of their ideas. Let us give some elements. Let $M$ be a stable minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with induced metric $g$. Inspired by the work of Gulliver and Lawson [18], they consider the conformal metric $\tilde{g}=r^{-2} g$ where $r$ is the Euclidean distance to 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If $M$ was a hyperplane passing through the origin $(M \backslash\{0\}, \tilde{g})$ would be isometric to the Euclidean product $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. In the general case, the idea of Chodosh and Li is that the stability assumption implies that the geometry of $(M \backslash\{0\}, \tilde{g})$ should look like $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. In [12], the authors consider the bi-Ricci curvature which is a certain combination of sectional curvatures. The bi-Ricci curvature was introduced by Shen and Ye in [25], already to study minimal surfaces (see precise definition in Section 2). Notice that on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, the bi-Ricci curvature is lower bounded by $n-2$. In [12], the authors prove that the stability of $M$ implies a positive spectral lower bound for the bi-Ricci curvature of $(M \backslash\{0\}, \tilde{g})$. More precisely they prove that, on $(M \backslash\{0\}, \tilde{g})$, the operator $-\widetilde{\Delta}+\left(\widetilde{\text { BRic }_{-}}-1\right)$ is non-negative where $\widetilde{\text { BRic }_{-}}$is the punctual minimum of the bi-Ricci curvature of $\tilde{g}$. This should be understood as a weak formulation of the inequality $\widetilde{\mathrm{BRic}} \geq 1$.

The second step of the strategy consists in the construction of a $\mu$-bubble in $(M \backslash\{0\}, \tilde{g})$ with a spectral lower bound for its Ricci curvature. In some sense, they identify in any sufficiently large part of $(M \backslash\{0\}, \tilde{g})$ a hypersurface that play the role of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times\{t\}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$.

The last step is to obtain an upper-bound for the volume of the $\mu$-bubble. In [12], the authors obtain a Bishop-Gromov volume estimate under the spectral lower bound on the Ricci curvature. In their paper, the proof of this volume estimate was specific to dimension 3. Recently, Antonelli and Xu [2] have proved such a Bishop-Gromov estimate in any dimension.
Theorem 1.2 (Antonelli and Xu[2]). Let $\left(M^{k}, g\right)$ be a compact Riemannian $k$-manifold with $k \geq 3$ and let $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{k-1}{k-2}$ and $\lambda>0$. Assume that there is a positive function $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$ such that, for any $(p, v) \in U M$,

$$
\gamma \Delta u(p) \leq(\operatorname{Ric}(v, v)-(k-1) \lambda) u(p)
$$

Then $\operatorname{Vol}(M) \leq \lambda^{-k / 2} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathbb{S}^{k}\right)$.
Once the $\tilde{g}$-volume of the $\mu$-bubble is controlled, this gives an estimate of its volume in the original metric $g$ and then control the growth of the volume of $M$ tanks to an isoperimetric inequality due to Michael and Simon [20] and Brendle [6].

In the present paper, we also follow the above strategy of [12]. Let us first notice that it is possible to obtain a spectral lower bound for the bi-Ricci curvature also when $n=5$, however this lower bound is far from being sufficient to perform the $\mu$-bubble construction. In order to solve this difficulty, we consider a weighted bi-Ricci curvature $\mathrm{BRic}_{\alpha}$ where the parameter $\alpha$ does not give the same weight to all sectional curvatures in the combination (a similar idea appears in the recent article by Hong and Yan [19]). We prove a spectral lower bound for the weighted bi-Ricci curvature: the operator $-a \widetilde{\Delta}+\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{BRic}}_{\alpha-}-\delta\right)$ is non-negative where $a, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$. At that step, $a, \alpha$ are two parameters that should be chosen such that $\delta>0$.

By imposing some new constraints on $a$ and $\alpha$, we are then able to construct the $\mu$ bubble with a spectral lower bound on the Ricci curvature. At the last step, we apply the Bishop-Gromov estimate of Antonelli and Xu [2]. In order to do so, this imposes some new constraints on the parameters $a$ and $\alpha$. Nevertheless, the choice $a=\frac{11}{10}$ and $\alpha=\frac{40}{43}$ meets all the constraints. Let us notice that, for $n=6$, no choice of $a$ and $\alpha$ satisfies all the constraints. Moreover, the computations have to be done the most precisely possible in order to allow such a choice when $n=5$. The end of the proof then follows the line of [12].

Organization. In Section 2, we fix some notations that we use all along the paper. Section 3 is devoted to the proof the spectral lower bound for $\widetilde{\mathrm{BRic}_{\alpha}}$ for the Gulliver-Lawson metric on a stable minimal hypersurface. In Section 4, we construct the $\mu$-bubble with a spectral lower Ricci bound. We end the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 5. Along the paper, we specify the value of $n, a$ and $\alpha$ only when it is necessary, we hope this allows to understand where the constraints come from.
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## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $\left(e_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we recall or define

- the Ricci curvature $\operatorname{Ric}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{n} R\left(e_{1}, e_{i}, e_{i}, e_{1}\right)$,
- the punctual minimum of the Ricci curvature $\lambda(p)=\min _{v \in T_{p} M,|e|=1} \operatorname{Ric}(e, e)$,
- the weighted bi-Ricci or $\alpha$-bi-Ricci curvature

$$
\operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{n} R\left(e_{1}, e_{i}, e_{i}, e_{1}\right)+\alpha \sum_{j=3}^{n} R\left(e_{2}, e_{j}, e_{j}, e_{2}\right)
$$

- the minimum of the $\alpha$-bi-Ricci curvature $\Lambda_{\alpha}(p)=\min _{(e, f) \text { orthonormal in } T_{p} M} \operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}(e, f)$ Notice that for $\alpha=1, \mathrm{BRic}_{1}$ is the classical bi-Ricci curvature as defined in [25].

If $\Sigma \rightarrow M$ is a hypersurface with unit normal $\nu$. We use the following conventions:

- the second fundamental form of $\Sigma$ is $A_{\Sigma}(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} \nu, Y\right)=-\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \nu\right)$ and
- the mean curvature of $\Sigma$ is $H=\operatorname{tr} A_{\Sigma}$.

If $\Omega$ is a subset of $M$, we denote by $\mathcal{N}_{\rho}(\Omega)$ the $\rho$-tubular neighborhood of $\Omega$ : the set of points at distance less than $\rho$ from $\Omega$.

We finish by a simple remark that we use in Section 3.

Remark 1. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ be a positive definite symmetric matrix and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then the function $f: X \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mapsto X^{\top} A X+B^{\top} X \in \mathbb{R}$ is lower bounded and its minimum is given by $-\frac{1}{4} B^{\top} A^{-1} B$.

## 3. Spectral lower bound for the weighted bi-Ricci curvature

Let $F: M^{n} \uparrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete two-sided minimal hypersurface and $g$ its induced metric. We consider the Gulliver-Lawson conformal metric $\tilde{g}=r^{-2} g$ where $r$ is the Euclidean distance function to 0 . Notice that if $F(p)=0, \tilde{g}$ is not defined. So we consider $N=$ $M \backslash F^{-1}(0)$. As it was observed by Gulliver and Lawson [18], the metric $(N, \tilde{g})$ is complete.

The first step of the proof of Theorem 1.1 consists in proving that the stability assumption can be translated in a spectral lower bound for the $\alpha$-bi-Ricci curvature of the metric $\tilde{g}$. Actually we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a two-sided stable minimal hypersurface. Suppose $n=5$, then, for $a=\frac{11}{10}, \alpha=\frac{40}{43}$ and $\delta=\frac{3}{10}$, there is a smooth function $V$ such that

$$
V \geq \delta-\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\alpha}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{N}|\nabla \varphi|_{\tilde{g}}^{2} d v_{\tilde{g}} \geq \int_{N} \frac{1}{a} V \varphi^{2} d v_{\tilde{g}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(N)$.
3.1. Recalling some computations. We first recall some computations and results of [12].

We denote by $\nu$ the unit normal to $M$ and by $|d r|$ the norm of the differential of $r$ along $M$ with respect to the metric $g$.

Let $\left(e_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be an orthonormal basis for the metric $g$ then, for the conformal metric $\tilde{g}$, an orthonormal basis is given by $\tilde{e}_{i}=r e_{i}$. The sectional curvatures of $g$ and $\tilde{g}$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{R}_{i j j i}=r^{2} R_{i j j i}+2-|d r|^{2}-d r\left(e_{i}\right)^{2}-d r\left(e_{j}\right)^{2}-(p, \nu)\left(A_{i i}+A_{j j}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [12, Proposition 3.5]).
The second result that we want to recall is the writing of the stability inequality in the conformal metric $\tilde{g}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{N}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} d v_{\tilde{g}} \geq \int_{N}\left(r^{2}|A|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{2}+\frac{n^{2}-4}{4}|d r|^{2}\right) \varphi^{2} d v_{\tilde{g}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(N)$ (see [12, Proposition 3.10]).
3.2. Estimating the curvature terms. In this subsection, we want to relate the curvature term in the stability inequality (3) to the $\alpha$-bi-Ricci curvature. We use the notations of the preceding subsection.

## Proposition 3.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\operatorname{BRic}}_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{2}\right)= & r^{2} \operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+2(n-1+\alpha(n-2))-(n+\alpha(n-1))|d r|^{2} \\
& -\left((n-2-\alpha) d r\left(e_{1}\right)^{2}+\alpha(n-3) d r\left(e_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& -(p, \nu)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Summing (2) and using $\operatorname{tr} A=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\operatorname{BRic}}_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{2}\right)= & \sum_{i=2}^{n} \tilde{R}_{1 i i 1}+\alpha \sum_{j=3}^{n} \tilde{R}_{2 j j 2} \\
= & r^{2} \operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+2(n-1)-(n-1)|d r|^{2}-(n-1) d r\left(e_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& -\left(|d r|^{2}-d r\left(e_{1}\right)^{2}\right)-(p, \nu)\left((n-1) A_{11}-A_{11}\right)+2 \alpha(n-2) \\
& -\alpha(n-2)|d r|^{2}-\alpha(n-2) d r\left(e_{2}\right)^{2}-\alpha\left(|d r|^{2}-d r\left(e_{1}\right)^{2}-d r\left(e_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& -\alpha(p, \nu)\left((n-2) A_{22}-A_{11}-A_{22}\right) \\
= & r^{2} \operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+2(n-1+\alpha(n-2))-(n+\alpha(n-1))|d r|^{2} \\
& -\left((n-2-\alpha) d r\left(e_{1}\right)^{2}+\alpha(n-3) d r\left(e_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& -(p, \nu)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposition 3.2.

$$
\operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{1 i}^{2}-\alpha \sum_{j=2}^{n} A_{2 j}^{2}-\alpha A_{11} A_{22}
$$

Proof. Applying Gauss formula and $\operatorname{tr} A=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(A_{11} A_{i i}-A_{1 i}^{2}\right)+\alpha \sum_{j=3}^{n}\left(A_{22} A_{j j}-A_{2 j}^{2}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{1 i}^{2}+\alpha\left(-A_{22}\left(A_{11}+A_{22}\right)-\sum_{j=3}^{n} A_{2 j}^{2}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{1 i}^{2}-\alpha \sum_{j=2}^{n} A_{2 j}^{2}-\alpha A_{11} A_{22}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above computation, we obtain the following estimate of the curvature term. This estimate introduces some constraints on $\alpha$ and a second parameter $a$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $a, \alpha>0$ such that $a>\frac{1}{2}, 2 a \geq \alpha$ and

$$
W=\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(a-\frac{n-2}{2 n}(1+2 \alpha)\right)-\frac{n-2}{4 n}(1-\alpha)^{2}>0
$$

Let us define

$$
f=\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{8 W}\left(\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{n-2}{n}\left(1+\alpha \frac{n-4}{n-2}\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2}\left(a+\frac{n-2}{2 n}-\frac{2}{n} \alpha\right)\right)
$$

Then

$$
a r^{2}|A|^{2}+f\left(1-|d r|^{2}\right) \geq-r^{2} \operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+(p, \nu)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right)
$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, the right-hand side of the expected inequality satisfies to

$$
\begin{align*}
& -r^{2} \operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+(p, \nu)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right) \\
& =r^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{1 i}^{2}+\alpha \sum_{j=2}^{n} A_{2 j}^{2}+\alpha A_{11} A_{22}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right)\right)  \tag{4}\\
& =r^{2}\left(A_{11}^{2}+\alpha A_{22}^{2}+\alpha A_{11} A_{22}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} A_{1 i}^{2}+\alpha \sum_{j=3}^{n} A_{2 j}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The vector $A_{\Delta}=\left(A_{11}, \cdots, A_{n n}\right)$ belongs to the sub-space $F_{n}=\left\{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=0\right\}$. We write a decomposition in an orthonormal basis of $F_{n}$ as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
A_{11} \\
\vdots \\
A_{n n}
\end{array}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-3}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
E_{i}
\end{array}\right) x_{i}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 n(n-2)}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
n-2 \\
n-2 \\
-2 \\
\vdots \\
-2
\end{array}\right) z_{1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right) z_{2}
$$

where $\left(E_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n-3}$ is an orthonormal basis of $F_{n-2}$. So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{11}^{2}+ & \alpha A_{22}^{2}+\alpha A_{11} A_{22}+\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{2 n}} z_{1}+\frac{z_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}+\alpha\left(\frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{2 n}} z_{1}-\frac{z_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}+\alpha\left(\frac{n-2}{2 n} z_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} z_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{2 n}}(n-2+\alpha(n-4)) z_{1}+\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{2}}(1-\alpha) z_{2}\right)  \tag{5}\\
= & \frac{n-2}{2 n}(1+2 \alpha) z_{1}^{2}+\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n}}(1-\alpha) z_{1} z_{2}+\frac{1}{2} z_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right) \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n}}\left(1+\alpha \frac{n-4}{n-2}\right) z_{1}+(1-\alpha) z_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $a>0$, we are interested in the minimum (if it exists) of

$$
\begin{align*}
a\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)- & \frac{n-2}{2 n}(1+2 \alpha) z_{1}^{2}-\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n}}(1-\alpha) z_{1} z_{2}-\frac{1}{2} z_{2}^{2}  \tag{6}\\
& -\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right) \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n}}\left(1+\alpha \frac{n-4}{n-2}\right) z_{1}+(1-\alpha) z_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The matrix of the quadratic part of the above expression is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a-\frac{n-2}{2 n}(1+2 \alpha) & -\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{4 n}}(1-\alpha) \\
-\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{4 n}}(1-\alpha) & a-\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This matrix is positive definite if $a>\frac{1}{2}$ and its determinant is positive:

$$
W=\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(a-\frac{n-2}{2 n}(1+2 \alpha)\right)-\frac{n-2}{4 n}(1-\alpha)^{2}>0
$$

If it's the case, by Remark 1 with vector $B=-\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right) \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n}}\left(1+\alpha \frac{n-4}{n-2}\right),(1-\alpha)\right)$, the quantity in (6) is lower bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right)^{2} \frac{(n-2)^{2}}{8 W}\left(\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{n-2}{n}\left(1+\alpha \frac{n-4}{n-2}\right)^{2}+\frac{n-2}{n}(1-\alpha)^{2}\left(1+\alpha \frac{n-4}{n-2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(a-\frac{n-2}{2 n}(1+2 \alpha)\right)(1-\alpha)^{2}\right) \\
= & -\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right)^{2} \frac{(n-2)^{2}}{8 W}\left(\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{n-2}{n}\left(1+\alpha \frac{n-4}{n-2}\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2}\left(a+\frac{n-2}{2 n}-\frac{2}{n} \alpha\right)\right) \\
= & -\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right)^{2} f
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(\frac{p}{r}, \nu\right)^{2}=\left(1-|d r|^{2}\right)$, we have then proved that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{f}{r^{2}}\left(1-|d r|^{2}\right) \geq & \frac{n-2}{2 n}(1+2 \alpha) z_{1}^{2}+\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n}}(1-\alpha) z_{1} z_{2}+\frac{1}{2} z_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right) \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n}}\left(1+\alpha \frac{n-4}{n-2}\right) z_{1}+(1-\alpha) z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (4) and (5), if $2 a \geq \alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a|A|^{2}+\frac{f}{r^{2}}\left(1-|d r|^{2}\right) \geq & a\left(\left|A_{\Delta}\right|^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j} A_{i j}^{2}\right)+\frac{f}{r^{2}}\left(1-|d r|^{2}\right) \\
\geq & A_{11}^{2}+\alpha A_{22}^{2}+\alpha A_{11} A_{22}+\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right) \\
& +a \sum_{i \neq j} A_{i j}^{2} \\
\geq & A_{11}^{2}+\alpha A_{22}^{2}+\alpha A_{11} A_{22}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} A_{1 i}^{2}+\alpha \sum_{j=3}^{n} A_{2 j}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{p}{r^{2}}, \nu\right)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right) \\
\geq & -\operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+\frac{(p, \nu)}{r^{2}}\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the expected estimate.
3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let us assume that the basis is chosen such that $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\alpha}=$ $\widetilde{\operatorname{BRic}}_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{2}\right)$. From (3), we are looking for a lower bound for $r^{2}|A|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{2}+\frac{n^{2}-4}{4}|d r|^{2}$. Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.3, $\alpha \leq 1$ (such that $n-2-\alpha \geq \alpha(n-3)$ ) and using

Proposition 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(r^{2}|A|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{2}+\right. & \left.\frac{n^{2}-4}{4}|d r|^{2}\right) \\
\geq & -r^{2} \operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+(p, \nu)\left((n-2-\alpha) A_{11}+\alpha(n-3) A_{22}\right) \\
& \quad-f\left(1-|d r|^{2}\right)-a \frac{n(n-2)}{2}+a \frac{n^{2}-4}{4}|d r|^{2} \\
\geq- & \widetilde{\operatorname{BRic}_{\alpha}}\left(\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{2}\right)+2(n-1+\alpha(n-2))-(n+\alpha(n-1))|d r|^{2} \\
& \quad-\left((n-2-\alpha) d r\left(e_{1}\right)^{2}+\alpha(n-3) d r\left(e_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-f\left(1-|d r|^{2}\right)-a \frac{n(n-2)}{2}+a \frac{n^{2}-4}{4}|d r|^{2} \\
\geq & C\left(|d r|^{2}\right)-\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
C(t)=2(n-1+\alpha(n-2))-(2 n-2+\alpha(n-2)) t-f(1-t)-a \frac{n(n-2)}{2}+a \frac{n^{2}-4}{4} t
$$

$C$ is an affine function and $0 \leq|d r|^{2} \leq 1$, so $C\left(|d r|^{2}\right) \geq \min (C(0), C(1))$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(1)= & 2(n-1+\alpha(n-2))-(2 n-2+\alpha(n-2))-a \frac{n(n-2)}{2}+a \frac{n^{2}-4}{4} \\
& =\alpha(n-2)-a \frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}=(n-2)\left(\alpha-a \frac{n-2}{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
C(0)=2(n-1+\alpha(n-2))-f-a \frac{n(n-2)}{2}
$$

If we consider $a=\frac{11}{10}$ and $\alpha=\frac{40}{43}$, we have $a>\frac{1}{2}, 2 a \geq \alpha, \alpha \leq 1$ and $W=\frac{26697}{184900}>0$. So the above computations apply. We have

$$
C(0)=\frac{731975}{1530628} \simeq 0.47 \quad \text { and } \quad C(1)=\frac{543}{1720} \simeq 0.31
$$

So for these values of $a$ and $\alpha$, and with $\delta=\frac{3}{10} \leq \min (C(0), C(1))$, we have

$$
V=a\left(r^{2}|A|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{2}+\frac{n^{2}-4}{4}|d r|^{2}\right) \geq \delta-\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\alpha}
$$

By (3), the spectral estimate (1) is true. Theorem 3.1 is proved.

## 4. The $\mu$-Bubble construction

In this section, we produce a warped $\mu$-bubble with a spectral Ricci curvature lower bound. So we start with a connected complete non-compact Riemannian manifold ( $\left.N^{n}, \bar{g}\right)$ with a spectral lower bound on the $\alpha$-bi-Ricci curvature: there is a smooth function $\bar{V}$ on $N$ such that

$$
\bar{V} \geq \delta-\bar{\Lambda}_{\alpha}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{N}|\bar{\nabla} \varphi|_{\bar{g}}^{2} d v_{\bar{g}} \geq \int_{N} \frac{1}{a} \bar{V} \varphi^{2} d v_{\bar{g}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(N)$
Theorem 4.1. Assume $(N, \bar{g})$ as above with $n=5, a=\frac{11}{10}, \alpha=\frac{40}{43}$ and $\delta=\frac{3}{10}$. Let $\Omega_{+}$be $a$ domain in $N$ (i.e. an open subset with compact smooth boundary) such that $N \backslash \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{100 \pi}\left(\Omega_{+}\right) \neq$ $\emptyset$. Then there is a domain $\Omega_{*}$ with

- $\Omega_{+} \subset \Omega_{*} \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{100 \pi}\left(\Omega_{+}\right)$and
- there is a smooth function $V$ on $\Sigma=\partial \Omega_{*}$ such that

$$
V \geq \frac{\delta}{2}-\alpha \lambda^{\Sigma}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{4-a} \int_{\Sigma}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} d v_{g} \geq \int_{\Sigma} V \varphi^{2} d v_{g} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in C^{1}(\Sigma)$ where $g$ is the induced metric on $\Sigma$.
4.1. Construction of the $\mu$-bubble. Because of the spectral control (7) on $N$, we know (see [16]) that there is a positive function $w$ on $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-a \bar{\Delta} w=\bar{V} w \geq\left(\delta-\bar{\Lambda}_{\alpha}\right) w \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us recall quickly the construction of the $\mu$-bubble. Let $\Omega_{-}$be a domain in $N$ such that $\Omega_{+} \subset \subset \Omega_{-} \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{100 \pi}\left(\Omega_{+}\right)$. Let $h: \Omega_{-} \backslash \Omega_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\lim _{p \rightarrow \partial \Omega_{+}} h(p)=+\infty$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow \partial \Omega_{-}} h(p)=-\infty$. Let $\underline{\Omega}$ be a domain with $\Omega_{+} \subset \subset \underline{\Omega} \subset \subset \Omega_{-}$.

For any sets of finite perimeter $\Omega$ with $\Omega_{+} \subset \subset \Omega \subset \subset \Omega_{-}$, we consider the quantity

$$
\mathcal{A}(\Omega)=\int_{\partial^{*} \Omega} w^{a}-\int_{U}\left(\chi_{\Omega}-\chi_{\underline{\Omega}}\right) h w^{a}
$$

where $\partial^{*} \Omega$ is the reduced boundary of $\Omega$. By similar argument to the ones in [11, 28], there there is a set of finite perimeter $\Omega_{*}\left(\Omega_{+} \subset \subset \Omega_{*} \subset \subset \Omega_{-}\right)$which minimize the functional $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover its reduced boundary $\partial^{*} \Omega_{*}=\Sigma$ is non empty $\left(N \backslash \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{100 \pi}\left(\Omega_{+}\right) \neq \emptyset\right)$ and smooth (see for example [21, 27]).
4.2. Spectral Ricci-curvature bound of the $\mu$-bubble. We denote by $k=n-1$ the dimension of $\Sigma$ and by $\eta$ the outgoing unit normal to $\Sigma$.

As in [12, Proposition 4.2], if $\varphi$ is a function on $\Sigma$, writing the first variation of $\mathcal{A}$ for a variation $\left\{\Omega_{t}\right\}$ of $\Omega_{*}$ generated by $\varphi \eta$ gives

$$
0=\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{A}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)_{\mid t=0}=\int_{\Sigma}\left(H w^{a}+a w^{a-1} d w(\eta)-h w^{a}\right) \varphi=\int_{\Sigma}\left(H+a w^{-1} d w(\eta)-h\right) w^{a} \varphi
$$

Since this is true for any $\varphi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=h-a d \ln w(\eta) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Computing the second derivative of $\mathcal{A}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \mathcal{A}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)_{\mid t=0}=\int_{\Sigma} w^{a}( & -\varphi \Delta \varphi-\left(|B|^{2}+\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\eta, \eta)\right) \varphi^{2}-a w^{-2} d w(\eta)^{2} \varphi^{2} \\
& \left.+a w^{-1} \bar{\nabla}^{2} w(\eta, \eta) \varphi^{2}-a w^{-1}(\bar{\nabla} w, \nabla \varphi) \varphi-d h(\eta) \varphi^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B$ is the second fundamental form of $\Sigma$. So

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
0 \leq \int_{\Sigma}-\operatorname{div}\left(w^{a} \varphi \nabla \varphi\right)+w^{a}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-\right. & \left(|B|^{2}\right.
\end{array}+\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\eta, \eta)\right) \varphi^{2}-a w^{-2} d w(\eta)^{2} \varphi^{2}\right) ~=a w^{-1} \bar{\nabla}^{2} w(\eta, \eta) \varphi^{2}-d h(\eta) \varphi^{2}\right)
$$

Using $\bar{\nabla}^{2} w(\eta, \eta)=\bar{\Delta} w-\Delta w-H d w(\eta)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq \int_{\Sigma} w^{a}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-\right. & \left(|B|^{2}+\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\eta, \eta)\right) \varphi^{2}-a w^{-2} d w(\eta)^{2} \varphi^{2}  \tag{11}\\
& \left.+a w^{-1}(\bar{\Delta} w-\Delta w-H d w(\eta)) \varphi^{2}-d h(\eta) \varphi^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $\varphi=w^{-a / 2} \psi$, we have $\nabla \varphi=w^{-a / 2} \nabla \psi-\frac{a}{2} w^{-a / 2-1} \psi \nabla w$. So we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma} w^{a}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-a w^{-1} \Delta w \varphi^{2}\right)= & \int_{\Sigma}|\nabla \psi|^{2}-a w^{-1} \psi(\nabla w, \nabla \psi)+\frac{a^{2}}{4} \psi^{2} w^{-2}|\nabla w|^{2}-a \psi^{2} w^{-1} \Delta w \\
= & \int_{\Sigma}|\nabla \psi|^{2}-a \operatorname{div}\left(\psi^{2} w^{-1} \nabla w\right)+a w^{-1} \psi(\nabla w, \nabla \psi) \\
& -\left(a-\frac{a^{2}}{4}\right) \psi^{2} w^{-2}|\nabla w|^{2} \\
= & \int_{\Sigma}|\nabla \psi|^{2}+a w^{-1} \psi(\nabla w, \nabla \psi)-\left(a-\frac{a^{2}}{4}\right) \psi^{2} w^{-2}|\nabla w|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using that $w^{-1} \psi(\nabla w, \nabla \psi) \leq \varepsilon|\nabla \psi|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} \psi^{2} w^{-2}|\nabla w|^{2}$ with $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{4-a}$, we get

$$
\int_{\Sigma} w^{a}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-a w^{-1} \Delta w \varphi^{2}\right) \leq \frac{4}{4-a} \int_{\Sigma}|\nabla \psi|^{2}
$$

From (11) and (9), we then obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4}{4-a} \int_{\Sigma}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \geq \int_{\Sigma}\left(|B|^{2}\right. & \left.+\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\eta, \eta)+a w^{-2} d w(\eta)^{2}-a w^{-1} \bar{\Delta} w+a H d \ln (\eta)\right) \psi^{2} \\
& +a d h(\eta) \psi^{2}  \tag{12}\\
\geq \int_{\Sigma}\left(|B|^{2}\right. & \left.+\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\eta, \eta)+\delta-\bar{\Lambda}_{\alpha}+a w^{-2} d w(\eta)^{2}+a H d \ln (\eta)\right) \psi^{2} \\
& +a d h(\eta) \psi^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right)$ be an orthonormal basis of $\Sigma$. Using Gauss equation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha \operatorname{Ric}^{\Sigma}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\alpha \sum_{j=2}^{k} R_{1 j j 1}^{\Sigma} & =\alpha \sum_{j=2}^{k}\left(\bar{R}_{1 j j 1}+B_{11} B_{j j}-B_{1 j}^{2}\right) \\
& =\overline{\operatorname{BRic}}_{\alpha}\left(\eta, e_{1}\right)-\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\eta, \eta)+\alpha \sum_{j=2}^{k}\left(B_{11} B_{j j}-B_{1 j}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So assuming that $e_{1}$ is such that $\operatorname{Ric}^{\Sigma}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\lambda^{\Sigma}$, we have

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\eta, \eta)-\bar{\Lambda}_{\alpha} \geq \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\eta, \eta)-\overline{\operatorname{BRic}}_{\alpha}\left(\eta, e_{1}\right)=-\alpha \lambda^{\Sigma}+\alpha \sum_{j=2}^{k}\left(B_{11} B_{j j}-B_{1 j}^{2}\right)
$$

So using the above inequality and $\operatorname{tr} B=H$ in (12), we then get the inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{4}{4-a} \int_{\Sigma}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \geq \int_{\Sigma} \psi^{2}\left(\delta-\alpha \lambda^{\Sigma}+|B|^{2}+\alpha \sum_{j=2}^{k}\left(B_{11} B_{j j}-B_{1 j}^{2}\right)+a(d \ln w(\eta))^{2}\right. \\
\quad+a H d \ln w(\eta)+a d h(\eta)) \\
\geq \int_{\Sigma} \psi^{2}\left(\delta-\alpha \lambda^{\Sigma}+|B|^{2}+\alpha H B_{11}-\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{1 j}^{2}+a(d \ln w(\eta))^{2}\right. \\
\quad+a H d \ln w(\eta)+a d h(\eta))
\end{gathered}
$$

Using (10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K:=|B|^{2}+\alpha H B_{11}-\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{1 j}^{2}+a(d \ln w(\eta))^{2}+a H d \ln w(\eta)= \\
& |B|^{2}+\alpha H B_{11}-\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{1 j}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}(H-h)^{2}+H(h-H)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us denote by $\Phi$ the traceless part of $B$ and let $\Phi_{\Delta}$ denote the vector $\left(\Phi_{11}, \ldots, \Phi_{k k}\right) \in F_{k}$. Thus, for $\alpha \leq 2$, we have

$$
K \geq \frac{1}{k} H^{2}+\left|\Phi_{\Delta}\right|^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{k} H^{2}+\alpha H \Phi_{11}-\alpha\left(\frac{1}{k} H+\Phi_{11}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{a}(H-h)^{2}+H(h-H)
$$

We can write a decomposition of $\Phi_{\Delta}$ in an orthonormal basis of $F_{k}$

$$
\Phi_{\Delta}=\sum_{i=1}^{k-2}\binom{0}{E_{i}} x_{i}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{k(k-1)}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
k-1 \\
-1 \\
\vdots \\
-1
\end{array}\right) z
$$

where $\left(E_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq k-2}$ is an orthonormal basis of $F_{k-1}$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & \geq \frac{1}{k} H^{2}+z^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{k} H^{2}+\alpha H \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} z-\alpha\left(\frac{1}{k} H+\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} z\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{a}(H-h)^{2}+H(h-H) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{\alpha}{k}-\frac{\alpha}{k^{2}}+\frac{1}{a}-1\right) H^{2}+\left(1-\alpha \frac{k-1}{k}\right) z^{2}+\frac{1}{a} h^{2}+\alpha \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}}\left(1-\frac{2}{k}\right) H z+\left(1-\frac{2}{a}\right) H h
\end{aligned}
$$

The above expression is a quadratic form in $(H, z, h)$ associated to the matrix

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{k}+\frac{\alpha}{k}-\frac{\alpha}{k^{2}}+\frac{1}{a}-1 & \frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}}\left(1-\frac{2}{k}\right) & \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{a} \\
\frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}}\left(1-\frac{2}{k}\right) & 1-\alpha \frac{k-1}{k} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{a} & 0 & \frac{1}{a}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Notice that this matrix is positive definite if $1-\alpha \frac{k-1}{k}>0$ and $\operatorname{det}(G)>0$. Actually for $k=4, a=\frac{11}{10}, \alpha=\frac{40}{43}$, we have $1-\alpha \frac{k-1}{k}=\frac{13}{43}>0$ and

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(G-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{22}
\end{array}\right)\right)=\frac{2599}{1789832}>0
$$

So $K \geq \frac{1}{22} h^{2}$. Finally, for our values of the parameters, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{4-a} \int_{\Sigma}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \geq \int_{\Sigma} \psi^{2}\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\alpha \lambda^{\Sigma}\right)+\psi^{2}\left(\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{1}{22} h^{2}+a d h(\eta)\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.3. End of the proof. We need to choose the domain $\Omega_{-}$and the function $h$. Let $\Psi$ : $N \backslash \Omega_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a smoothing of the distance function $d_{\bar{g}}\left(\cdot, \partial \Omega_{+}\right)$such that $\frac{1}{2} d_{\bar{g}}\left(\cdot, \partial \Omega_{+}\right) \leq \Psi \leq$ $2 d_{\bar{g}}\left(\cdot, \partial \Omega_{+}\right)$and $|\bar{\nabla} \Psi|_{\bar{g}} \leq 2$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ small be such that $(1+\varepsilon) 11 \pi \sqrt{\frac{88}{15}}$ is a regular value of $\Psi$. Let us define $\Omega_{-}=\Omega_{+} \cup\left\{\Phi \leq(1+\varepsilon) 11 \pi \sqrt{\frac{88}{15}}\right\}$. On $\Omega_{-}, d_{\bar{g}}\left(\cdot, \partial \Omega_{+}\right) \leq 2(1+\varepsilon) 11 \pi \sqrt{\frac{88}{15}} \leq$ $100 \pi$, so $\Omega_{-} \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{100 \pi}\left(\Omega_{+}\right)$.

On $\left\{0<\Psi<(1+\varepsilon) 11 \pi \sqrt{\frac{88}{15}}\right\}$, we consider the function $h$ defined by $h=k \circ \frac{\Psi}{1+\varepsilon}$ where

$$
k(t)=-\sqrt{\frac{33}{10}} \tan \left(\frac{1}{11} \sqrt{\frac{15}{88}} t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)
$$

for $t \in\left(0,11 \pi \sqrt{\frac{88}{15}}\right)$. We have $\lim _{p \rightarrow \partial \Omega_{ \pm}} h(p)= \pm \infty$. Notice that $k$ solves $-k^{\prime}=\frac{3}{44}+\frac{5}{242} k^{2}$ so

$$
|a d h(\eta)|=a\left|k^{\prime}\left(\frac{\Psi(p)}{1+\varepsilon}\right)\right| \frac{\left|\Psi^{\prime}(p)\right|}{1+\varepsilon} \leq \frac{2 a}{1+\varepsilon}\left(\frac{3}{44}+\frac{5}{242} h^{2}\right) \leq \frac{3}{20}+\frac{1}{22} h^{2}=\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{1}{22} h^{2}
$$

Hence, the above construction applies and, for our choices of parameters, (13) becomes

$$
\frac{4}{4-a} \int_{\Sigma}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \geq \int_{\Sigma} \psi^{2}\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\alpha \lambda^{\Sigma}\right)
$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 4.1.

## 5. Stable Bernstein problem

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. This a consequence of the following volume growth estimate.

Proposition 5.1. Let $F: M^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6}$ be a complete, immersed, two-sided, simply-connected stable minimal hypersurface. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ denote the geodesic ball of radius $\rho>0$ centered at some point $p_{0}$ in $M$ (for the induced metric $g$ ). Then

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\rho}\right) \leq \operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathbb{B}^{5}\right)\left(\frac{800}{43}\right)^{5 / 2}(2 \exp (100 \pi))^{5} \rho^{5}
$$

Proof. First, up to a translation, we may assume that $F\left(p_{0}\right)=0$. Let $\Omega_{+}$be a smooth compact domain in $M$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\rho} \subset \Omega_{+} \subset \mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}$ and such that $0 \notin F\left(\partial \Omega_{+}\right)$. We consider the Gulliver-Lawson conformal metric $\tilde{g}=r^{-2} g$. By Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, there is $\Omega_{*}$ a domain in $M$ such that $\Omega_{+} \subset \Omega_{*} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{100 \pi}\left(\Omega_{+}\right)$and $\partial \Omega_{*}$ satisfies the spectral Ricci lower bound (8) for the metric induced by $\tilde{g}$.

By [7, Theorem 1], $M$ has one end. We consider $\Omega_{* *}$ the connected component of $\Omega_{*}$ that contains $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}$. We assume $M$ is simply connected so the unbounded component of $M \backslash \Omega_{* *}$ has only boundary component $\Sigma_{0}$. Let $\Omega^{\prime}$ be the bounded component of $M \backslash \Sigma_{0}$. We have $\mathcal{B}_{\rho} \subset \Omega^{\prime}$ and $\partial \Omega^{\prime} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{100 \pi}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}\right)$.

On $\partial \mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}$, the Euclidean distance function $r$ is bounded by $2 \rho$. So, by [10, Lemma 6.2], on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{100 \pi}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}\right)$, the Euclidean distance function $r$ is bounded by $2 \rho \exp (100 \pi)$.

Now, because of the spectral Ricci lower bound (8) and since $\frac{4}{(4-a) \alpha}=\frac{43}{29}<\frac{3}{2}=\frac{k-1}{k-2}$, we can apply the volume estimate of Antonelli and Xu [2, Theorem 1] for the metric $\tilde{g}$ and obtain

$$
\operatorname{Vol}_{\tilde{g}}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\delta}{6 \alpha}\right)^{-2} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)=\left(\frac{800}{43}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)
$$

So scaling back to the Euclidean metric

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right) \leq\left(\frac{800}{43}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)(2 \exp (100 \pi))^{4} \rho^{4}
$$

Finally we can apply the isoperimetric inequality for minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}[6,20]$ to obtain

$$
\operatorname{Vol}_{g}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\rho}\right) \leq \operatorname{Vol}_{g}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathbb{B}^{5}\right)\left(\frac{800}{43}\right)^{5 / 2}(2 \exp (100 \pi))^{5} \rho^{5}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $M \leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6}$ be an immersed, connected,complete, two-sided, stable minimal hypersurface. The stability assumption lifts to the universal cover, so we can assume $M$ to be simply connected. By Proposition 5.1, $M$ has Euclidean volume growth. So by [23] (see also [3]), we obtain that $M$ is a flat hyperplane.
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